UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,189	05/25/2007	Torsten Barz	06101957 7382	
³⁴⁴³¹ HANLEY, FLI	7590 08/27/2007 GHT & ZIMMERMAN, I	EXAMINER		
150 S. WACKI	ER DRIVE	GIMIE, MAHMOUD		
SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/591,189		BARZ ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mahmoud Gimie		3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howe will apply and will expire cause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication () (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status								
2a) <u></u> 3) <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-finance except for for	mal matters, pro		;			
	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 30 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner contents of the oath of the	vn from consider r election require r. a)⊠ accepted o drawing(s) be held ion is required if th	ment. r b)⊡ objected t in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d).			
		aminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date 8/30/06	5) 🔲	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 5 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 5 has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by .

 Honda discloses a delivery unit, with a baffle (D), with a fuel pump (C) arranged therein and with a radial-on flow filter (30, E) which is arranged on the bottom (b) of the baffle and which is formed by shaped elements (33) projecting axially from the bottom of the baffle, so that an axially running gap (not numbered, figure 10) is formed in each case between two adjacent shaped elements (33) in each case, and which surrounds an inlet port (20, 35) arranged in the bottom of the baffle, characterized in that at least one region (31) for throughflow is arranged perpendicularly to the gaps (see figure 10) and perpendicularly to the throughflow *direction*, and in that the at least one region (31) connects at least two adjacent gaps.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,189

Art Unit: 3747

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda (US 6,464,872).

If applicant argues that the at least one region (31) for throughflow is not perpendicularly to the throughflow direction, then this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) applies. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to try different positions of the bar shaped portion (31) relative to the ring shaped portion (32) to achieve the best filtration effect while supporting the

shape of the filter cloth (E) to prevent impairment of the suction ability of the fuel pump.

Regarding claim 2, the regions (31) for throughflow are formed by at least one, preferably three, standing elements (33) arranged on the bottom (b) of the baffle (D) and having an equal axial length than the shaped elements.

Honda does not show standing elements having greater axial length than the shaped elements.

At the time the invention was made; it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to vary the axial length of the shaped

Application/Control Number: 10/591,189

Art Unit: 3747

elements because applicant has not disclosed that doing so provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, further, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with standing elements having the same axial length as disclosed by Honda because the invention of Honda provides filtration and support for a filter cloth.

Regarding claim 3, the regions (31) for throughflow are formed by shaped elements (33) with different axial lengths (obvious design choice).

Regarding claim 4, the shaped elements (33) are arranged in a plurality of rows lying (optional design choice) one behind the other in the throughflow direction.

Regarding claim 5, the shaped elements (33) of equal axial length are arranged in a row.

Regarding claim 6, the shaped elements (33) of t-he radially outer row possess a smaller axial length than the shaped elements of the radially inner rows (obvious design choice).

Regarding claim 7, the axially running gaps (not numbered) between the shaped elements (33) possess different lengths and widths.

Regarding claim 8, the shaped elements (33) are arranged in segments on the bottom (b) of the baffle (D).

Regarding claim 9, the segments are arranged releasably on the bottom (b) of the baffle (D).

Regarding claim 10, the segments are shaped in one piece on the baffle (D).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,189

Art Unit: 3747

Regarding claim 11, the distance between two adjacent segments is no greater than the distance of the shaped elements from one another (obvious design choice).

Regarding claim 12, the segments are arranged in a plurality of rows in the throughflow direction (obvious design choice).

Regarding claim 13, the shaped elements (33) are arranged circularly.

Regarding claim 14, the shaped elements (33) are arranged in the form of a polygon (obvious design choice).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show fuel delivery pumps.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 571-272-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 3747

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MG